

I am a resident of Indiana, and am commenting AGAINST the interpretation of the rules favored by the CBA in their PETITION FOR DECLARATORY RULING ON PREEMPTION OF INDIANA TELEMARKETING RULES.

The statutory definitions defined in Indiana law fit much more closely with my definition of an "Existing Business Relationship" than those sought by the CBA. I do not have business relationships with companies that I have requested information from or contacted in a way that did not result in any money changing hands.

The people of Indiana have enacted this law to protect us from intrusive marketing practices. If we had desired lesser protections we would have legislated them. The fact that it is inconvenient to advertisers doesn't bother me at all. It is not my desire to make telemarketing convenient. It is my desire to live my life free from time robbing interruptions. I don't have enough hours in the day to answer all the phone calls that advertisers would make if they could figure out how to get away with it.